Talk in Peking University
July 5-7, 2010

Extensions of a theorem of Hsu and Robbins

on the convergence rates in the law of large numbers

LIU Quansheng (with HAO Shunli)

Univ. de Bretagne-Sud (Univ. of South-Brittanyeyv4d50(ates)-27



1 Introduction

1.1 Convergence rate in the law of large numbers: the iid case

Consider i.i.d. r.v. X_i with $EX_i = 0$. Let

$$S_n = X_1 + ... + X_n$$
:

Law of Large numbers:

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \to 0$$
:

Question: at what rate $P(|S_n| > n'') \rightarrow 0$?

The theorem of Hsu-Robbins-Erdos

Hsu and Robbins (1947):

$$EX_1^2 < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n} P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0$$
:

("complete convergence", which implies a.s. convergence)

Erdos (1949): the converse also holds:

$$EX_1^2 < \infty \Leftarrow \sum_n P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0$$
:

Spitzer (1956):

$$\sum_{n} n^{-1} P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0 \text{ whenever } EX_1 = 0:$$



Baum and Katz (1965): for p > 1;

$$E|X_1|^p < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum_n n^{p-2}P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0;$$

in particular,

$$E|X_1|^p < \infty \Rightarrow P(|S_n| > n'') = o(n^{-(p-1)})$$

Question: is it valid for martingale differences?



1.2 Convergence rates in the law of large numbers: the martingale case

Is the theorem of Baum and Katz (1965) still valid for martingale differences (X_i) ?

$$\{\emptyset;\Omega\}=\mathcal{F}_0\subset\mathcal{F}_1\subset\ldots$$

orall j , X_j are \mathcal{F}_j measurable with $E[X_j|\mathcal{F}_{j-1}]=0$

$$(\Leftrightarrow S_n = X_1 + ::: + X_n \text{ is a martingale.})$$

Lesigne and Volney (2001): $p \ge 2$

$$E|X_1|^p < \infty \Rightarrow P(|S_n| > n'') = o(n^{-p=2})$$

and the exponent p=2 is the best possible, even for stationary and ergodic sequences of martingale differences.

Therefore the theorem of Baum and Katz does not hold for martingale differences without additional conditions. [Curiously, Stoica (2007) claimed that the theorem of Baum and Katz still holds for p>2 in the case of martingale differences without additional assumption. His claim is a contradiction with the conclusion of Lesigne and Volney (2001), and his proof is wrong: he chose an element in an empty set!]

1.3 Under what conditions the theorem of Baum and Katz still holds for martingale differences?

Alsmeyer (1990) proved that the theorem of Baum and Katz of order p>1 still holds for martingale differences (X_j) if for some \in (1;2] and q>(p-1)=(-1),

$$\sup_{n \ge 1} \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E[|X_j| \ |\mathcal{F}_{j-1}]\|_q < \infty$$

where $||:||_q$ denotes the L^q norm.

His result is already nice, but:



Our objective: extend the theorem of Baum and Katz (1965) to a large class of martingale arrays, in improving Alsmeyer's result for martingales, by establishing a sharp comparison result between

$$P(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{n;j} > ")$$
 and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(X_{n;j} > ")$

for arrays of martingale differences $\{X_{n:j}: j \geq 1\}$.

Our result is sharper then the known ones even in the independent (not necessarily identically distributed) case.

2. Main results for martingale arrays

For $n \ge 1$, let $\{(X_{nj}; \mathcal{F}_{nj}) : j \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of martingale differences, and write

$$m_{n}(\)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}[|X_{nj}|\ |\mathcal{F}_{n;j-1}]; \ \in (1;2];$$

$$S_{n;j} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}; \quad j \geq 1;$$

$$S_{n;\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{ni}$$
:



Lemma 1 (Law of large numbers) If for some $\in (1;2]$,

$$\mathsf{E} m_n(\) := \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mathsf{E}[|X_{nj}|\] o 0;$$

then for all " > 0,

$$P\{\sup_{j\geq 1}|S_{n;j}|>"\}\to 0$$

and

$$P\{|S_{n,\infty}| > "\} \rightarrow 0$$
:

We are interested in their convergence rates.



Theorem 1 Let $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \mapsto [0,\infty)$. Suppose that for some $\in (1,2], q \in [1,\infty)$ and $0 \in (0,1)$,

$$\exists m_n^q(\) \to 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^\infty \Phi(n)(\exists m_n^q(\))^{1-"_0} < \infty :$$
 (C1)

Then the following assertions are all equivalent:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\{|X_{nj}| > "\} < \infty \ \forall " > 0; \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) P\{ \sup_{j \ge 1} |S_{nj}| > " \} < \infty \ \forall " > 0; \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) P\{|S_{n,\infty}| > "\} < \infty \ \forall " > 0:$$
 (3)

●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Quit

Remark. The condition (C1) holds if for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r'_1 > 0$,

$$\Phi(n) = O(n^r) \text{ and } ||m_n()||_{\infty} = O(n^{-n}):$$
 (C1')

In the case where this holds with = 2, Ghosal and Chandra (1998) proved that (1) implies (2); our result is sharper because we have the equivalence.

Theorem 2 Let $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \mapsto [0, \infty)$ be such that $\Phi(n) \to \infty$. Suppose that for some $ext{$\in (1,2]$; $q \in [1,\infty)$ and $"_0 \in (0,1)$,}$

$$\Phi(n)(Em_n^q())^{1-"_0} = o(1) \quad (resp:O(1)):$$
 (C2)

3. Consequences for martingales We now consider the single martingale case

$$S_j = X_1 + ::: + X_j$$

w.r.t. a filtration

$$\{\emptyset;\Omega\}=\mathcal{F}_0\subset\mathcal{F}_1\subset\cdots$$

By definition, $E[X_j|\mathcal{F}_{j-1}] = 0$.

For simplicity, let us only consider the case where

$$\Phi(n) = n^{p-2} (n);$$

where p > 1, is a function slowly varying at ∞ :

$$\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{\dot{}(x)}{\dot{}(x)}=1\quad\forall\quad>0:$$

●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Quil

Notice that

$$S_n = n \to 0$$
 a.s. iff $P(\sup_{j \ge n} \frac{|S_j|}{j} > ") \to 0 \forall " > 0$:

To consider its rate of convergence, we shall use the condition that for some $\in (1;2]$ and $q \in [1;\infty)$ with q > (p-1)=(-1),

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \|\underline{m}_n(\cdot;n)\|_q < \infty; \tag{C3}$$

where $\underline{m}_{n}(\ ;n)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[|X_{j}|\ |\mathcal{F}_{j-1}].$ Remark that (C3) holds evidently if for some constant C>0 and all $j\geq 1$,

$$E[|X_j| | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] \le C \quad a:s:$$
 (C4)



Theorem 3 Let p > 1 and ≥ 0 be slowly varying at ∞ . Under (C3) or (C4), the following assertions are equivalent:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} P\{|X_j| > n''\} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0;$$
 (7)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) P\{ \sup_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > n'' \} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0; \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) P\{|S_n| > n''\} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0:$$
 (9)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) P\{ \sup_{j \ge n} \frac{|S_j|}{j} > " \} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0:$$
 (10)

●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Quit

Remark. If X_j are identically distributed, then (7) is equivalent to the moment condition

$$E|X_1|^{p}(|X_1|)<\infty$$
:

So Theorem 3 is an extension of the result of Baum and Katz (1965). When `is a constant, it was proved by Alsmeyer (1991).

Theorem 4 Let p>1 and ≥ 0 be slowly varying at ∞ . Under (C3) or (C4), the following assertions are equivalent:

$$n^{p-1}(n)\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\{|X_j| > n''\} = o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall " > 0;$$
(11)

$$n^{p-1}(n)P\{\sup_{1\leq j\leq n}|S_j|>n''\}=o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall ">0;$$

$$n^{p-1}(n)P\{|S_n| > n''\} = o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall " > 0:$$
 (13)

$$n^{p-1}(n)P\{\sup_{j\geq n}\frac{|S_j|}{j}>"\}=o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall ">0:$$
(14)

●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Quit

4. Applications to sums of weighted random variables.

Example: Cesàro summation for martingale differences.

For a > -1, let $A_0^a = 1$ and

$$A_n^a = \frac{(+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n)}{n!}; \quad n \ge 1:$$

Then $A_n^a \sim \frac{n^a}{\Gamma(a+1)}$ as $n \to \infty$; and $\frac{1}{A_n^a} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{a-1} = 1$. We consider convergence rates of

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n}A_{n-j}^{a-1}X_{j}}{A_{n}^{a}};$$

where $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); j \geq 0\}$ are martingale differences that are identically distributed.



For simplicity, suppose that for some $\in (1;2]; C>0$ and all $j\geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X_j| \mid \mathcal{F}_{j-1}\right] \leq C \ a:s: \tag{15}$$

Theorem 5. Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); j \geq 0\}$ be identically distributed martingale differences satisfying (15). Let $p \geq 1$, and assume that

$$\begin{cases} E|X_{1}|^{\frac{p-1}{a+1}} < \infty & \text{if } 0 < a < 1 - \frac{1}{p}; \\ E|X_{1}|^{p}\log(e \vee |X_{1}|) < \infty & \text{if } a = 1 - \frac{1}{p}; \\ E|X_{1}|^{p} < \infty & \text{if } 1 - \frac{1}{p} < a \le 1: \end{cases}$$
(16)

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} P\{|\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{n-j}^{a-1} X_j| > A_n^{a} \} < \infty \text{ for all } ">0: (17)$$

Remark: in the independent case, the result is due to Gut (1993).



Proofs of main results The proofs are based on some maximal inequalities for martingales.

A. Relation between

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j| > ")$$
 and $P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| > ")$

for martingale differences (X_i) :

Lemma A Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be a finite sequence of martingale differences. Then for any " > 0; $\in (1;2]; q \geq 1$, and $L \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_{j}| > 2"\} \le P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_{j}| > "\}$$

$$\le P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_{j}| > \frac{1}{4(L+1)}\}$$

$$+C''^{\frac{-q}{q+L}} (Em_{n}^{q}())^{\frac{1+L}{q+L}}; \tag{18}$$

where C = C(;q;L) > 0 is a constant depending only on ; q and L,

$$m_{n}(\) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} E[|X_{j}| | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}]:$$

B. Relation between

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} X_j > ")$$
 and $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} P(X_j > ")$

for adapted sequences (X_i) :

Lemma B Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be an adapted sequence of r.v. Then for j > 0; j > 0 and $j \geq 1$,

$$P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} X_j > "\} \le \sum_{j=1}^n P\{X_j > "\}$$

$$\le (1 + "^-) P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} X_j > "\} + "^- Em_n^q();$$

where $m_n(\)=\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_j|\ |\mathcal{F}_{j-1}].$

C. Relation between

$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > ")$$
 and $P(|S_n| > ")$

for martingale differences (X_i) :

Lemma C Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_|); \infty \leq | \leq \setminus \}$ be a finite sequence of martingale differences. Then for " > 0; $\in (1; 2]$ and $q \geq 1$,

$$P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > "\} \le 2P\{|S_n| > \frac{1}{2}\} + "-q \ 2^{q(+1)}C^q() \ge m_n^q();$$

where
$$m_n(\)=\sum_{j=1}^n {\mathbb E}[|X_j|\ |{\mathcal F}_{j-1}],$$
 $C(\)=\left(18^{-3=2}{=}(\ -1)^{1=2}
ight)$.

rst Prev Next Last Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

Thank you!

Quansheng.Liu@univ-ubs.fr